

Mains Practice Question

Essay Topics:

- 1. In matters of conscience, the law of the majority has no place.
- 2. The ends do not justify the means.

07 Jun, 2025 Essay Essay

1):

Quotes to Enrich Your Essay:

- "The only tyrant I accept in this world is the still small voice within me." Mahatma Gandhi
- "Conscience is the root of all true courage." Martin Luther King Jr.

Philosophical and Theoretical Dimensions

- Moral Autonomy vs. Majoritarianism: Conscience refers to the internal moral compass that guides individuals in distinguishing right from wrong.
- Natural Law Theory: Classical philosophers like Cicero and Aquinas argue that true law is derived from universal moral principles- conscience being its expression. If majority law violates natural justice, conscience must prevail.
 - **As per Rousseau**, the general will of society must not override natural law or individual rights rooted in reason.
 - Immanuel Kant emphasized that conscience is the voice of reason guiding one's duty. Moral autonomy is central, individuals must act based on moral law, not societal approval.
- Indian Perspective: Gandhiji's life is a testimony to moral resistance. His civil disobedience was based on the idea that unjust laws, even if supported by a majority, must be resisted through Satyagraha, an act of conscience grounded in truth.
- In Indian scriptures, Dharma transcends numerical strength. In the Mahabharata, Lord
 Krishna urges Arjuna to act according to his Swadharma, not popular consensus, demonstrating
 that conscience must guide duty.
- Buddhist Ethics: Conscience is aligned with right intention and right action from the Eightfold Path-ethical conduct must come from within.

Conscience Vs Democratic Ethics

- Freedom of Conscience (Article 25 of Indian Constitution): The law protects conscience and beliefs as essential human rights, implying their primacy even over majority will.
- **Right to Dissent:** Democracies must allow space for ethical dissent. **Dr. Ambedkar**, while drafting the Constitution, emphasized constitutional morality to prevent majority dominance.

Historical and Contemporary Examples

• **Raja Ram Mohan Roy** opposed the **practice of Sati**, despite its social acceptance at the time. He adhered to his moral convictions rather than conforming to the prevailing customs.

- Rejection of caste oppression by **Dr. B.R. Ambedkar**, despite its majoritarian social approval.
- Justice H.R. Khanna's Dissent during the Emergency (ADM Jabalpur Case, 1976), As a lone dissenting voice, Justice Khanna upheld the primacy of individual liberty and conscience over executive power during the Emergency.
- Satyendra Dubey (2003) exposed corruption in NHAI's Golden Quadrilateral project. upheld conscience over personal safety.
- In the **Navtej Singh Johar case (2018),** the SC decriminalized homosexuality, affirming that individual identity and conscience must be respected, even if not accepted by the majority.

Global Example

 Opposition of apartheid laws by Nelson Mandela imposed by a white-majority regime, guided by a moral vision of equality.

Challenges to Conscience

- **Conscience Misused for Extremism**: False claims of conscience (e.g., hate speech or religious radicalism) can threaten social harmony.
- **Need for Ethical Education**: True conscience must be informed by empathy, rationality, and universal moral values, not prejudice.

Conclusion

The essence of a just society lies in protecting the inner voice of conscience, even when it stands alone against the tide of public opinion. In democracies like India, true moral progress often begins when one individual, guided by conscience, resists the unjust will of the majority. Conscience, when exercised ethically, becomes the bedrock of reform, justice, and human dignity.

2):

Quotes to Enrich Your Essay:

- "Karmanyevādhikāraste mā phaleşu kadācana. Mā karmaphalaheturbhūr mā te sango'stvakarmani." Bhagavad Gita
- You can't do the right thing the wrong way." Martin Luther King Jr.

Philosophical and Ethical Underpinnings

- The age-old moral dictum, The ends do not justify the means, encapsulates a critical ethical viewpoint that values the process of achieving an outcome as much as the result itself.
 - This philosophical stance posits that no matter how noble the intended goal, it cannot legitimize the use of unethical or immoral methods to attain it.
- Consequentialism vs. Ethics of Duty: Immanuel Kant's categorical imperative emphasizes that
 the morality of an action is determined by whether it adheres to universal principles of duty,
 not by its consequences.
 - Contrarily, John Stuart Mill, advocating for utilitarianism, argued that the consequences
 of an action should be the primary determinant of its moral value. According to
 utilitarian thought, if a harmful means leads to a greater good, it could be justified.
- Bhagavad Gita: Lord Krishna advises Arjuna to act according to Dharma, not based solely on outcome. One's duty (Karma) must be righteous in both intention and action.
- Buddhist Philosophy: Emphasizes right action (Samyak Karmanta) as a part of the Eightfold
 Path. Even noble goals like liberation (Nirvana) must be pursued through ethically sound
 conduct.
- Gandhian Ethics of Non-violence: Mahatma Gandhi's principles of non-violence (Ahimsa) and truth (Satya) are key tenets that echo the assertion that ends should never justify immoral means.
 - Gandhi's commitment to non-violence during India's struggle for independence exemplifies that moral consistency is paramount, even when confronting oppressive forces.

Historical and Political Examples:

- Indian Independence Movement (Gandhi vs. Revolutionaries): In contrast to the violent struggles for freedom in other parts of the world, Gandhi's non-violent resistance to British rule illustrates that moral ends and means can coexist.
 - This approach demonstrated that achieving independence did not require sacrificing one's ethical principles. Gandhi's methods inspired global movements for justice, demonstrating that achieving noble goals without compromising one's values is possible.
- **Encounter Killings:** Used as a shortcut to justice, but violate the principle of due process and weaken faith in legal institutions.
- The Holocaust and Totalitarianism: The atrocities committed by Nazi Germany during World War II present a stark example of how the justification of horrific means for achieving a perceived noble end can result in unimaginable destruction.
 - Adolf Hitler and his regime justified the genocide of millions, including Jews, claiming it was for the "greater good" of the nation.
 - The Holocaust, therefore, stands as a testament to the devastating consequences of abandoning ethical boundaries in pursuit of a warped vision of national superiority..
- The U.S. Civil Rights Movement: Martin Luther King Jr. and other leaders of the U.S. Civil Rights Movement rejected violence, even in the face of brutal oppression.
- The Iraq War and the Doctrine of Preemption: The 2003 Iraq War is a recent example where the U.S. government justified military intervention based on the goal of dismantling weapons of mass destruction.
 - Despite the end goal of ensuring global security, the means, unilateral invasion and occupation, resulted in massive loss of life, long-term instability, and regional destruction.
- Civil Services Integrity: In governance, civil servants may face ethical dilemmas where their personal or political interests conflict with public duty.
 - A notable example is that of **TN Seshan**, former CEC of India, who used his position to clean up electoral processes, even when faced with political resistance.
- International Human Rights Law: Modern international human rights law reflects the principle that means cannot be compromised for any end.
 - The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, adopted by the UN, asserts that all people are entitled to live with dignity and free from torture, slavery, and inhumane treatment, regardless of the justification provided.
- Al and Privacy: Using Al for public safety must be balanced with protecting privacy, ends of security don't justify mass surveillance.
- **Climate Change:** debate around sacrificing environmental ethics in the name of economic growth undermines sustainability and justice for future generations.

Conclusion

A just society is built not merely on goals but on **how those goals are pursued.** As Gandhi asserted, the means are the seed and the end is the tree, only ethical seeds can yield just outcomes. While history may remember results, **conscience**, **law**, **and human dignity are preserved only when the path to those results is morally sound.**

PDF Reference URL: https://www.drishtiias.com/mains-practice-question/question-8831/pnt