

Reforming Civil Liability for Nuclear Damage Act, 2010

For Prelims: Civil Liability for Nuclear Damage Act, 2010 (CLNDA 2010), Convention on Supplementary Compensation (CSC, 1997), International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), Small Modular Reactors (SMRs), Atomic Energy Regulatory Board (AERB).

For Mains: Provisions, challenges and need to reform India's Civil Liability for Nuclear Damage Act, 2010, Steps needed to reform CLNDA 2010.

Source: TH

Why in News?

India is considering easing <u>Civil Liability for Nuclear Damage Act, 2010 (CLNDA 2010)</u> to reduce accident-related penalties on suppliers, addressing foreign firms' concerns over unlimited liability. The step aims to revive stalled nuclear projects and advance India's clean energy targets.

What is Civil Liability for Nuclear Damage Act, 2010?

- About: The Civil Liability for Nuclear Damage Act (CLNDA), 2010 is India's nuclear liability law ensuring compensation for victims and defining responsibility for nuclear accidents.
 - It aligns with the <u>Convention on Supplementary Compensation (CSC, 1997)</u>, adopted post-Chernobyl to set global minimum compensation standards; India ratified CSC in 2016.
 - It follows the nuclear liability principles of the Vienna Convention 1963, Paris Convention 1960, and Brussels Supplementary Convention 1963.
 - The Act imposes strict, no-fault liability on operators, caps operator liability at Rs 1,500 crore.
 - If damage claims **exceed Rs 1,500 crore,** the CLNDA expects the government to intervene.
 - The government's liability is capped at the rupee equivalent of 300 million Special Drawing Rights (SDRs), roughly Rs 2,100 to Rs 2,300 crore.
 - The Act also establishes a Nuclear Damage Claims Commission to ensure fair compensation and resolve conflicts.
- Supplier Liability: India's CLNDA is unique as it introduces supplier liability under Section 17(b), enabling operators to seek recourse against suppliers—unlike global frameworks like the CSC, which place liability solely on the operator.
 - Unlike CSC, which allows recourse only for contractual breaches or intentional
 acts, CLNDA broadens supplier accountability to cases where a nuclear incident results
 from a supplier's or their employee's act, including the supply of defective
 equipment, materials, or sub-standard services.

What is the Convention on Supplementary Compensation for Nuclear Damage

- About: The Convention on Supplementary Compensation for Nuclear Damage (CSC) is an international treaty established in 1997 under the <u>International Atomic Energy Agency</u> (<u>IAEA</u>) to create a global liability regime for nuclear damage.
 - It supplements existing national and international compensation mechanisms by providing additional funds in case of a major nuclear accident.
- Eligibility for Membership:
 - Primary Eligibility Criteria: The CSC is open to all IAEA member states and to countries that are parties to either the Vienna Convention on Civil Liability for Nuclear Damage (1963) or the Paris Convention on Third Party Liability in the Field of Nuclear Energy (1960).
 - Special Case (Non-Party States): A country not party to the Vienna or Paris
 Conventions (e.g., India) can join the CSC if its national nuclear liability laws align
 with CSC principles and it declares compliance at the time of ratification.
- India's Participation in the CSC: India signed the CSC in 2010 based on its Civil Liability for Nuclear Damage (CLND) Act, 2010, and ratified it in 2016, becoming a State Party despite not being part of the Vienna or Paris Conventions.

What are the Key Concerns Regarding the Civil Liability for Nuclear Damage Act, 2010?

- Supplier Liability Concerns: Foreign and domestic suppliers fear unlimited liability due
 to unclear insurance rules, ambiguous "nuclear damage" definition, and the risk of civil
 suits under Section 46 of CLNDA.
 - While the government claims alignment with CSC, experts note that Section 17(b) still
 exposes suppliers to lawsuits for defective equipment or intentional acts, deepening
 liability concerns.
- Deterring Foreign Investment in India's Nuclear Sector: India's nuclear liability laws were initially seen as an obstacle to the implementation of nuclear deals with countries like the United States.
 - Critics argue that the liability clauses and restrictions may hinder foreign investments and collaborations in the nuclear energy sector, especially when compared to international frameworks like the Convention on Supplementary Compensation for Nuclear Damage (CSC), which has broader provisions.
- Challenges to India's Clean Energy Goals: The CLNDA 2010 liability clause has hurt investor confidence, created uncertainty, and slowed nuclear energy growth in India, crucial for the 500 GW non-fossil fuel target by 2030.
 - With nuclear power contributing just 3% of total power, delays in projects like Jaitapur (9.6 GW) are hindering decarbonization efforts.

What Measures can be Adopted to Revamp Civil Liability for Nuclear Damage (CLND) Act, 2010?

- Legislative Reforms: Amend Section 17(b) to limit supplier liability to cases of intentional wrongdoing or gross negligence, aligning it more closely with international norms. This would help alleviate concerns over unlimited liability and encourage foreign suppliers to participate in the nuclear sector.
 - Also, amend the Atomic Energy Act to enable private sector participation, especially in <u>Small Modular Reactors (SMRs)</u>.
- Financial Safeguards:, and create an international insurance consortium to address supplier liability concerns.
 - Additionally, explore alternative funding models like nuclear risk-sharing funds to reduce the burden on taxpayers.

- Diplomatic & Bilateral Solutions: India could sign intergovernmental agreements (IGAs) with key partners (US, France, Japan) to clarify liability terms and set up a dispute resolution mechanism for cross-border claims, while using diplomatic assurances to revive stalled projects like Jaitapur and Kovvada.
- Strengthening Regulatory & Safety Framework: Strengthen the role of independent regulatory bodies like the <u>Atomic Energy Regulatory Board (AERB)</u> to ensure rigorous oversight of nuclear safety, operations, and adherence to standards and mandate third-party safety audits for all nuclear plants to ensure stringent safety standards.
 - Fast-track nuclear disaster response protocols to strengthen public confidence in nuclear energy.
- Offer Financial Incentives to Encourage Investment: Provide tax incentives and subsidies for nuclear energy investments with risk mitigation measures to boost private participation and accelerate nuclear power growth in India.
 - Consider introducing low-interest loans or grants for nuclear power projects to ensure that the costs of insurance and risk management don't deter investments.

Status of India's Nuclear Energy Sector:

- As of May 2023, nuclear energy contributes **1.6% to India's energy generation**, with plans to grow from **7.5 GW to 100 GW by 2047**, aiming to supply **25% of electricity by 2050**.
- Key developments like the Fast Breeder Reactor at Kalpakkam highlight India's growing nuclear capabilities. The 2025-26 Budget allocates Rs 20,000 crore for Small Modular Reactors (SMRs), with five indigenously designed SMRs planned by 2033.
 - India has 22 operational nuclear reactors, all run by NPCIL, with over a dozen new projects planned, but key ventures like Jaitapur (France's EDF) and Kovvada (US firms) remain stalled due to liability concerns.

Conclusion

It is high time that India must reform the Civil Liability for Nuclear Damage (CLND) Act, 2010 to align with global nuclear liability norms, easing supplier concerns while ensuring victim compensation. By expanding insurance pools, and strengthening bilateral agreements, India can revive stalled projects, attract foreign investment, and advance its clean energy goals without compromising safety or accountability.

Drishti Mains Question:

Examine the need to reform India's Civil Liability for Nuclear Damage Act, 2010. How can India balance supplier liability concerns with its nuclear energy expansion goals?

UPSC Civil Services Examination, Previous Year Question (PYQ)

<u>Prelims</u>

- Q. Consider the following statements: (2017)
 - 1. The Nuclear Security Summits are periodically held under the aegis of the United Nations.
 - 2. The International Panel on Fissile Materials is an organ of the International Atomic Energy Agency.

Which of the statements given above is/are correct?

(a) 1 only

- (b) 2 only
- (c) Both 1 and 2
- (d) Neither 1 nor 2

Ans: (d)

Q. In India, why are some nuclear reactors kept under "IAEA safeguards" while others are not? (2020)

- (a) Some use uranium and others use thorium
- (b) Some use imported uranium and others use domestic supplies
- (c) Some are operated by foreign enterprises and others are operated by domestic enterprises
- (d) Some are State-owned and others are privately owned

Ans: (b)

Mains

- **Q.** With growing energy needs should India keep on expanding its nuclear energy programme? Discuss the facts and fears associated with nuclear energy. (2018)
- **Q.** Give an account of the growth and development of nuclear science and technology in India. What is the advantage of the fast breeder reactor programme in India? (2017)

PDF Reference URL: https://www.drishtiias.com/printpdf/reforming-civil-liability-for-nuclear-damage-act-2010