

Limits to the Right to Access Justice

Source: LiveLaw

Why in News?

The <u>Supreme Court (SC) of India</u> fined a petitioner for **frivolous litigation** and **forum shopping**, citing misuse of **judicial time and resources**.

 The case highlights legal misuse, with the petitioner repeatedly filing meritless pleas to overturn a service dismissal.

What is the Supreme Court Ruling on Right to Access Justice?

- Case Background: The petitioner challenged his dismissal for misconduct across multiple legal forums, including the Industrial Tribunal, High Court, and Supreme Court, despite repeated rejections.
 - Ultimately, the Supreme Court dismissed his **petitions** and fined him for forum shopping.
- SC Ruling: The Supreme Court affirmed that while the right to access justice is a fundamental right (Article 21), it is not absolute.
 - The SC stated that frivolous petitions waste judicial time, delay justice, and undermine the integrity of the legal system.
- Judicial Rulings on Right to Access Justice:
 - In Anita Khushwa v. Pushpa Sadan, 2016, the SC reaffirmed that access to justice is a fundamental right under Articles 21 and 14 and it identified 4 key components for access to justice:
 - Effective adjudicatory mechanisms.
 - Reasonable accessibility in terms of distance.
 - Speedy adjudication.
 - Affordable access to the judicial process.
 - In Buddhi Kota Subbarao v. K. Parasaran, 1996 case, the SC dismissed petitions of a retired Navy captain prosecuted for espionage.
 - The SC rejected his fraud claims for lack of evidence, upholding judicial finality and ruling that higher court decisions cannot be endlessly challenged without new proof.

Read More: SC Prioritising SLPs Disposal

What are the Provisions Related to Right to Access to Justice?

- Constitutional Provisions:
 - Article 14 (Right to Equality): <u>Article 14 guarantees</u> equality before the law and equal protection of the laws.
 - The SC has interpreted this to **include the right to access justice**, ensuring that all individuals have an **equal opportunity to seek legal redress without discrimination**.

- Article 21 (Right to Life and Personal Liberty): Article 21 ensures that individuals can seek judicial remedies for grievances, thus protecting their personal liberty and rights.
- Article 39A (Free Legal Aid): <u>Article 39A</u> provides free legal aid to ensure that no citizen is denied justice due to economic or other disabilities.
 - It aims to **promote justice on the basis of equal opportunity** and is particularly focused on marginalized sections of society.
- Articles 32 and 226: Articles 32 and 226 allows aggrieved parties to enforce their right to access to justice by approaching the Supreme Court or the <u>High Court</u>.
- Legal Framework:
 - The <u>Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987</u>, established <u>NALSA</u> to provide free <u>legal</u> aid to weaker sections of society.
 - Under Section 12 of the Act, eligible groups include women, children, SCs/STs, disabled individuals, and low-income persons, ensuring legal representation for vulnerable populations.
 - Lok Adalats offer speedy, accessible dispute resolution under the Act.
 - Tele-Law provides legal advice to marginalized communities, while E-Lok
 Adalats ensure access for those unable to attend physical hearings.
- Public Interest Litigation (PIL):
 - PIL expanded the rule of *locus standi*, allowing public-spirited individuals or organizations to file cases for enforcing rights, not just the directly affected individuals.
 - Ex: MC Mehta v. Union of India (1987) was the first PIL filed on environmental pollution in Delhi.

UPSC Civil Services Examination, Previous Year Question (PYQ)

<u>Prelims</u>

- Q. Consider the following statements: (2019)
 - 1. The 44th Amendment to the Constitution of India introduced an Article placing the election of the Prime Minister beyond judicial review.
 - 2. The Supreme Court of India struck down the 99th Amendment to the Constitution of India as being violative of the independence of judiciary.

Which of the statements given above is/are correct?

- (a) 1 only
- **(b)** 2 only
- (c) Both 1 and 2
- (d) Neither 1 nor 2

Ans: (b)

Q. With reference to National Legal Services Authority, consider the following statements: (2013)

- 1. Its objective is to provide free and competent legal services to the weaker sections of the society on the basis of equal opportunity.
- 2. It issues guidelines for the State Legal Services Authorities to implement the legal programmes and schemes throughout the country.

Which of the statements given above is/are correct?

(a) 1 only

- **(b)** 2 only
- (c) Both 1 and 2
- (d) Neither 1 nor 2

Ans: (c)

<u>Mains</u>

- **Q**. Critically examine the Supreme Court's judgment on 'National Judicial Appointments Commission Act, 2014' with reference to the appointment of judges of higher judiciary in India. **(2017)**
- **Q**. Who are entitled to receive free legal aid? Assess the role of the National Legal Services Authority(NALSA) in rendering free legal aid in India (2023)

PDF Refernece URL: https://www.drishtiias.com/printpdf/limits-to-the-right-to-access-justice

