

Hate Speech and Blasphemy

For Prelims: Law Commission of India, Hate Speech, Indian Penal Code (IPC), National Crime Records Bureau (NCRB)

For Mains: Blasphemy, Hate Speech, and their Regulation

Why in News?

Recently, there has been a rise in cases related to **Hate Speech, Blasphemy** in India.

What is Hate Speech?

- About:
 - According to the 267th Report of the <u>Law Commission of India</u>, <u>Hate Speech</u> is stated as an incitement to hatred primarily against a group of persons defined in terms of race, ethnicity, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, and the like.
 - Thus, hate speech is any word written or spoken, signs, visible representations
 within the hearing or sight of a person with the intention to cause fear or alarm, or
 incitement to violence.
- Related Data:
 - According to the <u>National Crime Records Bureau (NCRB)</u>, there has been a huge increase in cases registered to promote hate speech and foster animosity in society.
 - As there were only 323 cases registered in 2014, it had increased to 1,804 cases in 2020.

What are the Regulations Related to Blasphemy?

- About:
 - Section 295(A) of the Indian Penal Code (IPC), punishes any speech, writings, or signs
 that "with premeditated and malicious intent" insult citizens' religion or religious beliefs
 with a fine and imprisonment for up to three years.
- SC Interpretation:
 - Ramji Lal Modi case (1957):
 - The legality of Section 295(A) was affirmed by a five-judge Bench of the Supreme Court in this case.
 - Supreme court reasoned that while <u>Article 19(2)</u> allows reasonable limits on freedom of speech and expression for the sake of public order.
 - The punishment under Section 295(A) deals with aggravated form of blasphemy which is committed with the malicious aim of offending the religious sensibilities of any class.
 - Superintendent, Central Prison, Fatehgarh Vs Ram Manohar Lohia case (1960):
 - It stated that the link between the speech spoken and any public disorder caused as a result of it should have a close relationship for retrieving Section

295(A) of IPC.

- Further in 2011, it concluded that only speech that amounts to "incitement to impending unlawful action" can be punished.
 - That is, the state must meet a very high bar before using public disturbance as a justification for suppressing expression.

Why there is a Need for Distinction between Blasphemy and Hate Speech Laws?

- Too wide Interpretation:
 - **Section 295(A)** is considerably too wide and it cannot be stated that deliberate disrespect to religion or religious sensibilities is necessarily tantamount to incitement.
- Section 295(A) contains hate speech statutes:
 - The Supreme Court has said on several occasions that perhaps the goal of hate speech statutes in Section 295(A) is to prevent prejudice and ensure equality.
- Laws Lack Clarity:
 - Hate speech laws are predicated on the critical distinction between criticizing or ridiculing religion and encouraging prejudice or aggression towards individuals or a community because of their faith.
 - Unfortunately, there is a huge disparity between this interpretation and the actual wording due to which the law is still being exploited at all levels of administration.

Way Forward

- Blasphemy, which generally prohibits criticism of religion, is incompatible with the principles of democratic societies.
 - In a free and democratic society, there should be no scrutiny of discourse or objections.
 - Following the subtle line between the protection of faith and hate speech, the only viable solution is to keep blasphemy in law and make it non-criminal.

Source: TH

PDF Reference URL: https://www.drishtiias.com/printpdf/hate-speech-and-blasphemy