

Indian Digital Tax Discriminatory: USTR

Why in News

Recently, the Office of the <u>United States Trade Representative (USTR)</u> has said that the **Digital** services taxes adopted by India, Italy and Turkey discriminate against US companies and are inconsistent with international tax principles.

Key Points

- The Office of the United States Trade Representative (USTR):
 - It is responsible for developing and coordinating US international trade.
 - Section 301 (US Trade Act) gives the USTR broad authority to investigate and respond to a foreign country's action which may be unfair or discriminatory as well as negatively affect US commerce.
 - Adopted through the **1974 Trade act**, the Section allows the US President to impose tariffs or other curbs on foreign nations.
 - However, the law mandates consultations with trading partners.
- Digital Services Taxes (DSTs):
 - These are the adopted taxes on **revenues that certain companies generate from providing certain digital services.** E.g. digital multinationals like Google, Amazon and Apple etc.
 - The Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) is currently hosting negotiations with over 130 countries that aim to adapt the international tax system. One goal is to address the tax challenges of the digitalization of the economy.
 - Some experts argue that a **tax** policy designed to target a single sector or activity is likely to be unfair and have complex consequences.
 - Further, the **digital economy cannot be easily separated** out from the rest of the **global economy**.
- India's Tax on Digital Companies:
 - The government had moved an amendment in the <u>Finance Bill 2020-21</u> imposing a 2% digital service tax (DST) on trade and services by non-resident e-commerce operators with a turnover of over Rs. 2 crore.
 - This effectively **expanded the scope of equalisation levy** that, till last year, only applied to digital advertising services.
 - Earlier, the **equalisation levy (at 6%) was introduced in 2016** and imposed on the revenues generated on business-to-business digital advertisements and allied services of the resident service provider.
 - The new levy came into effect from 1st April 2020. E-commerce operators are obligated to pay the tax at the end of each quarter.
- USTR's Investigation Report:

- The **DST in India is discriminatory** because it exempts Indian companies and targets non-Indian firms.
 - This hits US firms which **dominate the technology industry.**
 - 119 companies that it identified as likely liable under the digital services tax, 86, or 72%, were American.
- USTR estimates that the aggregate tax bill for US companies could exceed USD 30 million per year.
- The USTR has determined that India's DST is unreasonable or discriminatory and burdens or restricts US commerce and thus is **actionable** under **Section 301 (US Trade Act)**.
- India's Stand:
 - India has described the equalization levy as a fair, reasonable and nondiscriminatory tax aimed at all offshore digital economy firms accessing the local market and has denied it targets US companies.
 - It seeks to **ensure a level-playing field** with respect to e-commerce activities undertaken by entities resident in India as well as those not residents in India or without permanent establishment in India.
 - The government of India will examine the determination/decision notified by the US in this regard, and would take appropriate action keeping in view the overall interest of the nation.
 - There was no retroactive element or extra-territorial application involved in the levy which applied only on the revenue generated from India.
 - It is a recognition of the principle that in a digital world, a seller can engage in business transactions without any physical presence, and governments have a legitimate right to tax such transactions.

DIFFERENTSTROKES

USTR probe:

Indian official:

4.0

India's digital services tax (DST) from Apr 2020 is 'discriminatory', as it targets only non-residents



US probe ignores the **2016 levy on domestic firms**; levy's scope was only widened last year to level playing field

DST taxes firms' revenue rather than income, so it's inconsistent with **global tax principles**

Firms should not be subject to a country's corporate tax absent a territorial connection to it



Several global tax measures, including those on royalty and technical fees, are not levied on income



Almost all US states have laws on **remote sellers/marketplace facilitators**, which tax even non-US resident entities

Concerns:

 In the backdrop of an improper functioning of the <u>World Trade Organization (WTO)</u>, the move could signal the start of more unilateral action by the US especially on the digital services front.

The Vision

• In India's case, the probe could **potentially affect the outcome of a bilateral trade** deal that India has been looking to forge with the US.

Way Forward

As India is racing towards becoming a digital giant, the **2% DST should be negotiated to avoid any hurdles** in its implementation. Further, there needs to be **international consensus on taxation on a digital economy.**

Source:IE

PDF Refernece URL: https://www.drishtiias.com/printpdf/indian-digital-tax-discriminatory-ustr