

Mains Practice Question

Q. You are the director of a department that has recently been engulfed in the #MeToo campaign when two of the deputy directors working under you have been publicly named as sexual predators by two women in the department. As directed by the Sexual Harassment of Women at Workplace (Prevention, Prohibition and Redressal) Act, 2013, you are to initiate a committee of inquiry and submit the report within 90 days.

Before initiating the committee you are faced with two narratives, first, that this is not the first time both women have come forward with similar accusations; second, that you have known both the deputy directors and their families for quite some time now, and you have noticed nothing in their behaviour that says they could have been the sexual predators as alleged.

Now, while the women want you to begin the inquiry process at the earliest, the deputy directors deny all charges and say that they want to pursue defamation cases against their main accusers. In all this, public pressure is against you, with the media discussing the case on a regular basis.

(a) As a director of a department what will be your course of action for starting an official investigation? State the merits and demerits of whatever course of action you decide to follow.

(b) Do you also agree that the sexual nature of a crime makes the crime ethically different and difficult (to handle) from other crimes? Give valid reasons to support your views. (250 words)

11 Oct, 2019 GS Paper 4 Case Studies

Answer

The given case highlights the dilemma faced by higher management whether to give priority to allegations of sexual offences by women or to trust the colleagues as per their past behavior.

Facts of the case	Stakeholder	Values involved
racts of the case		values involveu
	s involved	
 Allegations of sexual abuse against two deputy directors. The two women previously also alleged similar accusations. Personal experience of director suggests clean character of accused. Media and public pressure to take action. 	 The two wome n Deput y direc tors Self (D irector) Depart mental staff Media Civil societ y 	 Dignity of women Gender justice Responsibility Objectivity Trust Self-esteem Impartiality

Following **course of action** can be taken by the Director in this case:

Course of	Merit	Demerit	
action		_	
1. Knowing and	Ensuring	Increasing media	
understanding	-	pressure: Media	
the facts:	give opportunity to	may portray this as	
Talking with	them to present their	biasness of the	
both the	viewpoints.	Director.	
women and	 Resolving the case 	 Unnecessary 	
the accused.	informally: If there	delay: Directly	
	are any false	following the legal	
	accusations, matter	duty of forming	
	can be resolved if	inquiry committee	
	both parties do away	will fast-track the	
	with any	resolution of case.	
	misunderstandings.		
2. Talking	 Encourage other 	 Increased ethical 	
informally to	women employees:	scrutiny by the	
the	Other women	staff: The	
department	employees may also	complainant might	
staff	speak out seeing the	have to face	
Stan	impartial nature of	indirect harassment	
	the process followed.	at the workplace.	
	 Involving 	 Biased 	
	stakeholders: The	viewpoints: The	Vision
	viewpoint of the	office staff may not	
	colleagues is	give true opinions	TISU
	necessary to get a	because of fear of	
	third point of view.	going against the	
	third point of view.	organization.	
B. Forming	Ensuring justice:	 Encourage false 	
formal inquiry	This will give an	cases: If the	
committee	opportunity to both	women have made	
committee	the parties to face a	false accusations,,	
	fair trial.	this will encourage	
	 Following duty: It is 	them to repeat this	
		for vicious motives.	
	the legal duty of the director to ensure	 Defamation of 	
	that the internal		
		deputy directors:	
	complaints	It will be detrimental to the	
	committee functions		
1	impartially.	career of accused	
		and will hurt their	
		self-esteem, morale	
		and confidence.	

- Thus, the Director has to ensure that the procedure is fair, objective and impartial. Both the complainant and the accused must be given equal opportunity to justify their stands.
- Also, it is the duty of the senior management and the staff to ensure a conducive work culture so that no one should be harassed of any preconceived notions and prejudices.

b) Yes, sexual nature of a crime makes the crime ethically different and difficult (to handle) from other crimes. Following arguments can be given in support of this:

- **Nature of offence:** Sexual offences put a scar on the lives of the victim who face the trauma for entire lifetime. Curbing such offences should be of utmost priority.
- Attitude of society: The 'chalta hai' attitude of Indian society promotes and encourages sexual offences. In the words of Hannah Arendt, it is "banality of evil" that is evil (sexual offences)

becomes so normal that society gives acceptance to it.

- **Curbing the perpetrators:** Strict action against sexual offences will discourage the regular offenders not to indulge in such crimes.
- Male dominance in work environments: Women regularly face abuse and harassment on a daily basis in both personal and professional life.
- **Encouraging women:** Giving due importance to their grievances would encourage them to speak up and stand for themselves.

The Vision

Thus, it is the duty of the society to listen to the voices of women when they speak up. Also, formal channels of grievance redressal should be encouraged instead of going to the social media. Initiatives like SheBox promote anonymity and encourages women not to succumb to regular sexual harassment at workplace.

PDF Refernece URL: https://www.drishtiias.com/mains-practice-question/question-357/pnt