

Mains Practice Question

Q. You are the director of a department that has recently been engulfed in the #MeToo campaign when two of the deputy directors working under you have been publicly named as sexual predators by two women in the department. As directed by the Sexual Harassment of Women at Workplace (Prevention, Prohibition and Redressal) Act, 2013, you are to initiate a committee of inquiry and submit the report within 90 days.

Before initiating the committee you are faced with two narratives, first, that this is not the first time both women have come forward with similar accusations; second, that you have known both the deputy directors and their families for quite some time now, and you have noticed nothing in their behaviour that says they could have been the sexual predators as alleged.

Now, while the women want you to begin the inquiry process at the earliest, the deputy directors deny all charges and say that they want to pursue defamation cases against their main accusers. In all this, public pressure is against you, with the media discussing the case on a regular basis.

(a) As a director of a department what will be your course of action for starting an official investigation? State the merits and demerits of whatever course of action you decide to follow.

(b) Do you also agree that the sexual nature of a crime makes the crime ethically different and difficult (to handle) from other crimes? Give valid reasons to support your views. (250 words)

11 Oct, 2019 GS Paper 4 Case Studies

Answer

The given case highlights the dilemma faced by higher management whether to give priority to allegations of sexual offences by women or to trust the colleagues as per their past behavior.

| Facts of the case | Stakeholder | Values involved |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| racts of the case | | values involveu |
| | s involved | |
| Allegations of sexual abuse against two deputy directors. The two women previously also alleged similar accusations. Personal experience of director suggests clean character of accused. Media and public pressure to take action. | The two wome n Deput y direc tors Self (D irector) Depart mental staff Media Civil societ y | Dignity of women Gender justice Responsibility Objectivity Trust Self-esteem Impartiality |

Following **course of action** can be taken by the Director in this case:

| Course of | Merit | Demerit | |
|----------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------|
| action | | _ | |
| 1. Knowing and | Ensuring | Increasing media | |
| understanding | - | pressure: Media | |
| the facts: | give opportunity to | may portray this as | |
| Talking with | them to present their | biasness of the | |
| both the | viewpoints. | Director. | |
| women and | Resolving the case | Unnecessary | |
| the accused. | informally: If there | delay: Directly | |
| | are any false | following the legal | |
| | accusations, matter | duty of forming | |
| | can be resolved if | inquiry committee | |
| | both parties do away | will fast-track the | |
| | with any | resolution of case. | |
| | misunderstandings. | | |
| 2. Talking | Encourage other | Increased ethical | |
| informally to | women employees: | scrutiny by the | |
| the | Other women | staff: The | |
| department | employees may also | complainant might | |
| staff | speak out seeing the | have to face | |
| Stan | impartial nature of | indirect harassment | |
| | the process followed. | at the workplace. | |
| | Involving | Biased | |
| | stakeholders: The | viewpoints: The | Vision |
| | viewpoint of the | office staff may not | |
| | colleagues is | give true opinions | TISU |
| | necessary to get a | because of fear of | |
| | third point of view. | going against the | |
| | third point of view. | organization. | |
| B. Forming | Ensuring justice: | Encourage false | |
| formal inquiry | This will give an | cases: If the | |
| committee | opportunity to both | women have made | |
| committee | the parties to face a | false accusations,, | |
| | fair trial. | this will encourage | |
| | Following duty: It is | them to repeat this | |
| | | for vicious motives. | |
| | the legal duty of the director to ensure | Defamation of | |
| | that the internal | | |
| | | deputy directors: | |
| | complaints | It will be detrimental to the | |
| | committee functions | | |
| 1 | impartially. | career of accused | |
| | | and will hurt their | |
| | | self-esteem, morale | |
| | | and confidence. | |

- Thus, the Director has to ensure that the procedure is fair, objective and impartial. Both the complainant and the accused must be given equal opportunity to justify their stands.
- Also, it is the duty of the senior management and the staff to ensure a conducive work culture so that no one should be harassed of any preconceived notions and prejudices.

b) Yes, sexual nature of a crime makes the crime ethically different and difficult (to handle) from other crimes. Following arguments can be given in support of this:

- **Nature of offence:** Sexual offences put a scar on the lives of the victim who face the trauma for entire lifetime. Curbing such offences should be of utmost priority.
- Attitude of society: The 'chalta hai' attitude of Indian society promotes and encourages sexual offences. In the words of Hannah Arendt, it is "banality of evil" that is evil (sexual offences)

becomes so normal that society gives acceptance to it.

- **Curbing the perpetrators:** Strict action against sexual offences will discourage the regular offenders not to indulge in such crimes.
- Male dominance in work environments: Women regularly face abuse and harassment on a daily basis in both personal and professional life.
- **Encouraging women:** Giving due importance to their grievances would encourage them to speak up and stand for themselves.

The Vision

Thus, it is the duty of the society to listen to the voices of women when they speak up. Also, formal channels of grievance redressal should be encouraged instead of going to the social media. Initiatives like SheBox promote anonymity and encourages women not to succumb to regular sexual harassment at workplace.

PDF Refernece URL: https://www.drishtiias.com/mains-practice-question/question-357/pnt