

Notices of Interpol

Source: TH

Why in News?

Recently concerns have been raised about the misuse of <u>Interpol's notice system</u>, especially the issuance of **blue corner notices**, which are **less scrutinised** than their red corner notices.

- The number of blue notices has approximately doubled in the last ten years.
- Critics have argued that countries often exploit existing protocols to target political refugees and dissidents.





What is the Interpol Notice System?

About:

- Interpol serves as a vital information-sharing network for national police forces to combat transnational crimes.
- Interpol (General Secretariat) issues notices to member states for missing or wanted persons, which, while not mandatory for states to follow, are often treated as warrants for arrest and extradition.
- Requesting Authority: Notices are issued at the request of:
 - A member country's Interpol National Central Bureau
 - At the request of International Criminal Tribunals and the International Criminal
 <u>Court</u> to seek persons wanted for committing crimes within their jurisdiction,
 notably genocide, war crimes, and crimes against humanity.

- At the request of the <u>United Nations</u> concerning the implementation of sanctions imposed by the <u>Security Council</u>.
- Types of Notices:



What are the Concerns Regarding the Misuse of Interpol Notice?

- Blue Notice Vs Red Notice:
 - Blue Notice: Referred to as an "enquiry notice," enables police forces in member states to exchange crucial crime-related information, including verifying a person's criminal record and location, among other details.
 - Blue Corner notices are issued **before** the filing of **criminal charges**.
 - Red Notice: Issued by a member state to apprehend a wanted criminal through extradition or other lawful means, targeting individuals sought by national jurisdictions for prosecution or to serve a sentence following an arrest warrant or court ruling.
 - Interpol can act on a **request from any country**, regardless of whether it is the fugitive's home country, as long as the alleged crime occurred there.
 - The individual in question may be **detained and apprehended** while moving through a member state, with additional adverse outcomes including the freezing of bank accounts.
 - Interpol lacks the authority to mandate law enforcement agencies in any nation to apprehend the individual targeted by a red corner notice, as the decision to do so is entirely at their discretion.
- Controversy Surrounding Red Notice: Although Interpol's Constitution explicitly forbids any activities of a political character, activists have accused it of failing to enforce this rule. For example:
 - Russia frequently issues notices and diffusions for the arrest of Kremlin opponents, contributing to 38% of all public red notices according to the US rights organisation Freedom House.
 - International human rights groups have also accused **China, Iran, Turkey, and Tunisia**, among others, of abusing the agency's notice system for **authoritarian ends**.
 - Interpol declined India's second request to issue a red corner notice against Gurpatwant

Singh Pannun, designated as a "terrorist" by the Ministry of Home Affairs under the **UAPA** citing inadequate information and highlighting that his actions have a **"clear political dimension"**, which is beyond the scope of a red corner notice under Interpol's Constitution.

• Interpol's Stand: Interpol has strengthened the supervision of its red notice system in light of increasing criticism, but concerns persist over the issuance of blue notices.

PDF Refernece URL: https://www.drishtiias.com/printpdf/notices-of-interpol

